AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliand filed in the U.S. Dis		5 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a cou- District of Minnesota	rt action has been on the following		
	Patents. (the patent acti	ion involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):			
DOCKET NO. 11cv01714	DATE FILED 6/28/2011	U.S. DISTRICT COURT District of Minnesota			
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT			
Blazek Glass s.r.o, f/k/a s.r.o., and Mr. Dalibor E	ı J. Blazek SKLO Podebrad Blazek	Top Notch Wellness Co			
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARK		
1 6,488,034					
2					
3					
4					
5					
DATE INCLUDED		endment	Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR			
1	OK TRADENZITAL				
2					
3					
4					
5					
* .1 1		Jacking has been used and on independent ignored.			
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	ove—entitled case, the following	decision has been rendered or judgement issued:	*		
CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE		
	i i				

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

Blazek Glass s.r.o, f/k/a J. Blazek SKLO Podebrady s.r.o., and Mr. Dalibor Blazek,))) Case No.:
Plaintiffs,)
v. Top Notch Wellness Company, Richard Lloyd-Roberts d/b/a Top Notch Wellness Company, Colleen Lloyd-Roberts d/b/a Top Notch Wellness Company,)) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED))))
Defendants.	,

COMPLAINT

Come now the Plaintiffs, Blazek Glass s.r.o, f/k/a J. Blazek SKLO Podebrady s.r.o., and Mr. Dalibor Blazek, for their Complaint against Defendants, Top Notch Wellness Company, Richard Lloyd-Roberts d/b/a Top Notch Wellness Company, and Colleen Lloyd-Roberts d/b/a Top Notch Wellness Company, state and allege as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Blazek Glass s.r.o, f/k/a J. Blazek SKLO Podebrady s.r.o. ("Blazek Glass"), is a business entity of the Czech Republic having a principal place of business at Olbrachtova 600, 29001 Podebrady, Czech Republic.
- Plaintiff Mr. Dalibor Blazek, is an individual with the legal address of Palachova
 688, 29001 Podebrady, Czech Republic.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Defendant Top Notch Wellness Company ("Top Notch"), is a business entity organized under the laws of South Carolina, having a

principal place of business at 427 Laurel Hills Road, Fort Mill, South Carolina 29707-8720.

- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Richard Lloyd-Roberts ("Richard Lloyd-Roberts") is an officer or principal of Top Notch and an individual residing at 427 Laurel Hills Road, Fort Mill, South Carolina 29707-8720.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Defendant Colleen Lloyd-Roberts ("Colleen Lloyd-Roberts") is an officer or principal of Top Notch and an individual residing at 427 Laurel Hills Road, Fort Mill, South Carolina 29707-8720.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the Acts of Congress relating to patents, 35 U.S.C. §§ 271 and 282-85.
- 7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338(a).
- 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1400(b). Upon information and belief, Defendants have engaged in the distribution, offer for sale, or both, of products embodying the inventions of United States Patent Nos. 6,488,034 and 6,694,988 in this judicial district and throughout the United States.

BACKGROUND

- 9. Blazek Glass is engaged in the business of making and selling glass nail files to customers around the world in numerous shapes and sizes.
- 10. On December 3, 2002, United States Patent No. 6,488,034 ("the '034 patent") entitled FILE, PARTICULARLY NAIL FILE, was duly and legally issued by

the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO"). Mr. Dalibor Blazek is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '034 patent. Blazek Glass is the exclusive licensee of the '034 patent.

- 11. On February 24, 2004, United States Patent No. 6,694,988 ("the '988 patent") entitled FILE, PARTICULARLY NAIL FILE, was duly and legally issued by the USPTO. Mr. Dalibor Blazek is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '988 patent. Blazek Glass is the exclusive licensee of the '988 patent.
- 12. Defendants are each engaged, *inter alia*, in the business of importing, distributing and/or selling glass nail files. Defendants have purposefully imported, distributed, sold and offered for sale such glass nail files throughout the United States.
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendants are each directly engaged in the importation, distribution and sale of infringing products as alleged herein. Defendants conduct business via the website www.nailfile.net, which is registered to Defendant Colleen Lloyd-Roberts at 427 Laurel Hill Road, Fort Mill, South Carolina 29707.
- 14. Upon information and belief, Defendant Richard Lloyd-Roberts is individually directly engaged in the importation, distribution and sale of infringing products as alleged herein. Upon information and belief, Defendant Richard Lloyd-Roberts uses the name Top Notch as an alias to operate his business. Upon information and belief, Richard Lloyd-Roberts is an officer or principal of Top Notch.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Defendant Colleen Lloyd-Roberts is individually directly engaged in the importation, distribution and sale of infringing products as alleged herein. Upon information and belief, Defendant Colleen Lloyd-

Roberts uses the name Top Notch as an alias to operate her business. Upon information and belief, Colleen Lloyd-Roberts is the president, and an officer or principal, of Top Notch.

- 16. Defendants were first put on actual notice of their infringing activities on November 19, 2007, via letter from Plaintiffs' counsel.
- 17. Thereafter, the parties discussed purchasing glass nail files from Plaintiffs throughout 2007 and 2008. Defendants eventually stopped communicating with Plaintiffs and continued selling the accused products.
- 18. Defendants were again put on actual notice of their infringing activities by way of written correspondence on at least February 5, May 6, June 7, September 21, 2010, and May 12, 2011.
- 19. Plaintiffs have expended a substantial amount of money and effort in making and selling their patented glass nail files. Defendants' business of importing, distributing and selling infringing glass nail files is in violation of Plaintiffs' patent rights.

COUNT I – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. NO. 6,488,034

- 20. Plaintiffs restate the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-19 and incorporate them herein by reference.
- 21. By virtue of his ownership of the '034 patent, Plaintiff Mr. Dalibor Blazek maintains the right to sue thereon and the right to recover for infringement thereof.
- 22. As exclusive licensee of the '034 patent, Plaintiff Blazek Glass maintains the right to sue thereon and the right to recover for infringement thereof.
 - 23. Defendants have directly infringed the '034 patent through the

manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, distribution and or importation of certain glass nail files.

- 24. Defendants have had actual knowledge of the '034 patent and their infringement of this patent and has been and continues to be willful and deliberate.
- 25. Plaintiffs have been damaged by Defendants' infringement of the '034 patent and will continue to be damaged in the future unless Defendants are permanently enjoined from infringing the '034 patent, contributing to the infringement of the '034 patent, and/or inducing the infringement of the '034 patent by others.
 - 26. Plaintiffs have satisfied the notice provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287.

COUNT II – INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PAT. NO. 6,694,988

- 27. Plaintiffs restate the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-26 and incorporate them herein by reference.
- 28. By virtue of his ownership of the '988 patent, Plaintiff Mr. Dalibor Blazek maintains the right to sue thereon and the right to recover for infringement thereof.
- 29. As exclusive licensee of the '988 patent, Plaintiff Blazek Glass maintains the right to sue thereon and the right to recover for infringement thereof.
- 30. Defendants have directly infringed the '988 patent through the manufacture, use, sale, offer for sale, distribution and or importation of certain glass nail files.
- 31. Defendants have had actual knowledge of the '988 patent and their infringement of this patent and has been and continues to be willful and deliberate.
 - 32. Plaintiffs have been damaged by Defendants' infringement of the '988

patent and will continue to be damaged in the future unless Defendants are permanently enjoined from infringing the '988 patent, contributing to the infringement of the '988 patent, and/or inducing the infringement of the '988 patent by others.

33. Plaintiffs have satisfied the notice provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 287.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment that:

- A. Defendants have directly infringed one or more claims of the '034 patent;
- B. Defendants have directly infringed one or more claims of the '988 patent;
- C. Defendants have willfully infringed one or more claims of the '034 patent;
- D. Defendants have willfully infringed one or more claims of the '988 patent;
- E. Defendants, their officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them be enjoined from further infringing, contributing to the infringement, or inducing the infringement of the '034 patent;
- F. Defendants, their officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert or participation with any of them be enjoined from further infringing, contributing to the infringement, or inducing the infringement of the '988 patent;
- G. Plaintiffs be awarded transfer and ownership of the domain name nailfile.net;
- H. An accounting be had for the damages arising out of Defendants' infringement of the '034 patent, including treble damages for willful infringement as

provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284, with interest;

- I. An accounting be had for the damages arising out of Defendants' infringement of the '988 patent, including treble damages for willful infringement as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 284, with interest;
- J. Plaintiffs be awarded their attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses in this action; and
- K. Plaintiffs be awarded such other and further relief as this Court may deem necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAZEK GLASS S.R.O, f/k/a J. BLAZEK SKLO PODEBRADY S.R.O., and MR. DALIBOR BLAZEK, Plaintiffs

By their attorneys,

Dated: June 28, 2011

By: s/Heather J. Kliebenstein
Anthony R. Zeuli #0274884
Heather J. Kliebenstein #337419
MERCHANT & GOULD
3200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402-2215
Telephone: (612) 332-5300

Telephone: (612) 332-5300 Facsimile: (612) 332-9081

E-mail: tzeuli@merchantgould.com

E-mail: hkliebenstein@merchantgould.com

CASE 0:11-cv-01714-JNE -AJB Document 1-1 Filed 06/28/11 Page 1 of 1

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

- 1

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS			
Blazek Glass s.r.o, f/k/a J. Blazek SKLO Podebrady s.r.o., and Mr. Dalibor Blazek			Top Notch Wellness Co., Richard Lloyd-Roberts d/b/a TNWC., Colleen Lloyd-Roberts d/b/a TNWC			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff		County of Residence of	First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O	All V	
(E)	(CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			CONDEMNATION CASES, USI IVOLVED.		
			Attorneys (If Known)			
	Address, and Telephone Number)		Amineys (ii known)			
Heather Kliebenstein, M	erchant & Gould, 3200 IDS Center, 8	50 S.				
8th Street, Minneapolis,	MN 55402 (612) 332-5300	TIT CI	TIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPAL PARTIES	Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citize	en of This State		ncipal Place 🗍 4 🗍 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	nother State	
			en or Subject of a reign Country	3		
TV NATURE OF SUI					SAMMAN	
CONTRACT	TORIS	E R	ORIGINALING/PENAISIE/A.S. 10 Agriculture	BANKRUPTCY 22 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REATUPROPERTY	Slander 388 Asbestos Person Injury Product Liability Liability Liability S40 Marine 9 RSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Fraud 370 Other Personal 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag Product Liability 136 Other Personal Product Liability 137 Other Personal Product Liability 138 Other Personal Product Liabili	-	20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R.R. & Truck 50 Airline Regs. 50 Occupational Safety/Health	□ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTYRIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights ■ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAIS SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ WEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	□ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 310 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 375 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure 230 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 442 Employment ☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations ☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment ☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights ☐ 585 Death Penalty ☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Conditio	Other 0 4	91 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act **EMMIGRATION** 62 Naturalization Application 63 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee 65 Other Immigration Actions	or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
⊠ 1 Original □ 2 R	tate Court Appellate Court	Rec	ppened speci	ferred from 6 Multidist er district Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you 28 U.S.C. Secs. 1331, 1332 ar	ide 1338	(Do not cite jurisdiction: (a)	ai statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ON Brief description of cause: Patent Intringement					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	ON J	DEMAND \$	JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : Yes No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 06/28/2011	SIGNATURE OF A	ATTORNE	Y OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING IFP	·	JUDGE	MAG. J.	JDGE	